## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

FILED

		08 JUL 28 RM 72; 23e for Clerk's Office File Stamp	
<b>T</b> O	•	GLERY, U.S. DISTRICTOR CHARLES	
TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Barry Ted Moskowitz			
FROM: E. Lloyd, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/24/08 page 1			
		S-BTM (JMA) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Kevin Vanginderen	
CASE TITLE: Vanginderen v. Cornell University			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: <u>Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Attorneys' Fees</u>			
	Upon the subm	ission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
X	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
		OTHER:	
		<b>Date forwarded:</b>	
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
ZÍ.		be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
		OT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a	
	copy of this order	·	
	Rejected documen	t to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	Counsel is advised	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant	
	to Local Rule 83.1	CHAMBERS OF:	
	Dated: 7/25/	<u>иб</u> Ву:	
	cc: All Parties		